

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/010,993	10/010,993 11/12/2001		Gerard Alan Lynch	20228-200501	6492		
37509	7590	12/17/2004		EXA	MINER		
	DECHERT LLP P.O. BOX 10004				NGUYEN, CUONG H		
PALO ALT		4303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			3661			

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				·					
		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			0,993	LYNCH, GERARD ALAN					
			iner	Art Unit					
		CUO	NG H. NGUYEN	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THIS PRIOR OF THIS PRIOR	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In instruction.  days, a reply within the lutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply be e statutory minimum of thirty (30) on and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status				•					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>07 Septemb</i>	per 2004.						
	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the a	pplication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to								
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of  2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have	been received.	· , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of		• •	<del></del>					
	application from the Internation								
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	·		ved.					
Attachmen									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pī mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/요</u> 7/0니	<b>-</b> /	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the answer to the communication received on 9/07/2004 (the Response under 37 CFR 1.111).

## Status of the Claims

2. Claims 1- 10 are pending in this application.

## Response:

3. The arguments are unpersuasive because in currently amended claim 1, what "the main program" can do is considered as "non-functional descriptive material" that does not contribute anything to claimed "method of delivering" auction items (the claimed meanings "in an auction environment" do not change a method of delivering selectable images (it would also happen in different environment for distributing selectable images). In other words, cited prior art of Ginter et al. and Nakano et al. could perform claimed functions of claimed "a main program" - the cited references obviously suggest a program that handles claimed functions. Since cited references read-on the claimed languages, the examiner respectfully submits that previous rationales for rejections are repeated herein.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-3, 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al. (US Pat. 6,427,140), in view of Nakano et al. (US Pat. 5,745,109).
- A. As to claim 1: Ginter et al. teach a method of delivering items (see Ginter, Fig.2), comprising:
  - receiving, a request from client browser for a program (see Ginter, Fig.2, a user (112) requests to VDE administrator (116)); and delivering the program to the requesting client browser (see Ginter, Fig. 2, ref. 108), the program being configured to receive seller specific information (this is non-functional descriptive material; therefore, this specific information is obvious in a step of receiving a program in Ginter's patent), and to load and activate a module including a picture displaying module for displaying selectable images, the picture displaying module being configured to moveably display - see also Nakano et al., 4:10-18), or Ginter et al., in the Detailed Description Text portion (para. 856): "Other modifications of an image (or moving image. audio, etc.) which provide a similar benefit (that is, storing information in a form that is not normally noticeable as a result of a certain modification of the source information) may be appropriate, depending on the application. For example, certain subtle modifications in the frequency of stored audio information can be modified so as to be normally unnoticeable to

the listener while still being readable with the proper tools.", or Ginter et al., in the Detailed Description Text portion (para. 1220): "The content of an object 300 created by an author may be generated with the assistance of a VDE aware application program or a non-VDE aware application program. The content of the object created by an author in conjunction with such programs may include text, formatted text, pictures, moving pictures, sounds, computer software, multimedia, electronic games, electronic training materials, various types of files, and so on, without limitation", and "to redirect" action of Ginter et al., in the Detailed Description Text portion (para. 170): "FIG. 10 is a block diagram of one example of a software structure/architecture for Rights Operating System ("ROS") 602 provided by the preferred embodiment. In this example, ROS 602 includes an operating system ("OS") "core" 679, a user Application Program Interface ("API") 682, a "redirector" 684, an "intercept" 692, a User Notification/Exception Interface 686, and a file system 687. ROS 602 in this example also includes one or more Host Event Processing Environments ("HPEs") 655 and/or one or more Secure Event Processing Environments ("SPEs") 503 (these environments may be generically referred to as "Protected Processing Environments" 650).", or Ginter et al., the Detailed Description Text portion (para. 188): "Since the second advantage (reducing complexity) makes it easier for an application creator to produce applications, even "VDE aware" applications 608a(2) may be designed so that some calls invoking VDE functions 604 are requested at the level of an "other OS functions" call and then "translated" by redirector 684 into a VDE function call (in this sense, redirector 684 may be considered a part of API 682). FIG. 11C shows an example of this. Other calls invoking VDE functions 604 may be

passed directly without translation by redirector 684.".

Nakano et al. also teach to browse an item/document associated with a selected image (see Nakano et al., Fig.7, ref. 113 - "GAME ARCADE").

Both Ginter et al. and Nakano et al. do not disclose the claimed steps in an auction environment; however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Ginter et al. and Nakano to suggest those ideas to be used in an auction environment, because an auction environment is merely an intent of use, this does not effect the steps of delivering selectable images to a browser at all; artisan would recognize that these steps help to organize a server that implementing Internet ordering claimed specific method of selecting and ordering products.

- B. As to claims 2-3: The rationales and references for rejection of claim 1 are incorporated.
- Ginter et al. /Nakano et al. also teach a step of receiving a request about an item description (see Ginter, Fig.2, a user (112) requests a VDE content from VDE administrator (116)).
- The motivation of obviousness is similar to claim 1 (please note that "an auction site" is a non-functional description material that do not effect a step of receiving something from somewhere.

C. As to claims 6,8: The rationales and references for rejection of claim 1 are incorporated.

Nakano also teaches that a program is configured to load and activate a item links module that maintains a set of item links for a category in the set of categories available on a site (see Nakano, Fig.5 - "PHONE" in ref. 112 is selected to perform claimed functions).

D. As to claims 7, 9: The rationales and references for rejection of claim 6 are incorporated.

It is obvious that Nakano et al. would teach that a set of item links available on a site is stored from an auxiliary server because of making separated or integrate together different servers are obvious in the field of computer networking (see Nakano, Fig.12) - storing an item from a remote place (an auxiliary server) or storing it on site is not an inventive concept because it would be merely a matter of obvious engineering choice - <u>In re Fridolph</u>, 50 CCPA 745, 89 F.2d 509, 135 USPQ 319, <u>In re Lockhart</u>, 90 USPQ 214 (CCPA 1951).

E. As to claim 10: The rationales and references for rejection of claim 9 are incorporated.

Nakano et al. teach that an item link includes a related name (see Nakano, Fig.12 "KID'S LAND" - this meaningful name let a user know that this site comprises items for kids).

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al. (US Pat. 6,427,140), in view of Nakano et al. (US Pat. 5,745,109), and further in view of Kiesel (US Pat. 4,949,193).

The rationales and references for rejection of claim 1 are incorporated.

- Ginter et al. and Nakano et al. do not expressly disclose of displaying a picture at different speeds.
- However, Kiesel teaches that characterization (see Kiesel, 10:28-33).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Ginter et al., Nakano et al., and Kiesel to suggest a step of displaying a picture at different speed (e.g., moveably display pictures at a controllable speed; and wherein the controllable speed is set by a pointing device connected to the client computer system) because Kiesel contributes to a controllable speed that making a system suggested by Ginter et al. and Nakano et al. more flexible.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ginter et al. (US Pat. 6,427,140), in view of Nakano et al. (US Pat. 5,745,109), and further in view of Izumi et al. (US Pat. 6,157,410).

The rationales and references for rejection of claim 1 are incorporated.

- Ginter et al. and Nakano et al. do not expressly disclose that moving images are displayed horizontally in a computer's window.

However, Izumi et al. teach that moving images are displayed horizontally in a computer's window (see Izumi et al., the abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Ginter et al., Nakano et al., and Izumi et al. to suggest a step of moving images are displayed horizontally in a computer's window because moving images horizontally (from left to right directions) or vertically (from top to bottom directions) have already been a habit to a viewer to follow an ordered pattern.

## Conclusion

7. Claims 1-10 are not patentable. Accordingly, THIS

ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened

statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 8. <u>Note</u>: A move-able image/picture has been taught in bill-board advertisement.
- Please note that claiming an "auction item" is obvious for a general item because that is a non-functional descriptive material that does not contribute to the claimed method of delivering images.
- US Pat 6,400,996 also suggests about "movable images" In

  Detailed Description Text portion (para. 302):

## In <u>Detailed Description Text portion (para. 303):</u>

"Alternatively to the object extraction, the *image* as a whole may be analyzed. In the case of *moving images*, the aforementioned method is further modified to accommodate time varying *images*. These *images* usually vary by small amounts between frames, and this allows a statistical improvement of the recognition function by compensating for a *movement* vector, as well as any other transformation of the *image*. This also allows a minimization of the processing necessary because redundant information between successive frames is not subject to the full degree of processing. Of course, if the *image* is substantially changed, then the statistical processing ceases, and a new recognition function may be begun, "flushing" the system of the old values. The basic method is thus modified by storing delayed *image* data information, i.e., a subsequent frame of a *moving image*. This represents an *image of a moving* object differing in time from the *image* data in the data processor."

<sup>&</sup>quot; Image Analysis"

S.N. 10/010,993 Art Unit 3661

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 703-305-4553. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THOMAS G. BLACK can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cuonshnsuyen

CUONG H. NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661